



2022 Knox County Facade Improvement Grant Program Application Scoring Sheet

This sheet is used by the Facade Improvement Grant (FIG) to score each application submitted under the program. A total score of 100 points is possible; to be considered for funding, an application must receive a minimum score of 70 points.

- Current Physical Condition / Exterior Appearance (up to 20 points)
- Scope of Work (up to 20 points)
- Applicant's Project Viability & Demonstrated Ability to Repay (up to 20 points)
- Overall Quality of Application (up to 40 points)

One (1) scoring sheet is completed by each member of the Committee for each application received. The committee will then review its score sheets to come to a consensus. Items in this sheet have been weighted to best gauge the completeness and quality of each Applicant's responses.

Review the application components and assess their presence below. Failure to have all "yes" boxes checked could result in the application being disqualified or returned to the applicant to provide more information.

Applicant Information				
Applicant Name				
Property Status	 Owner-occupied Leased to tenants 			
Project Site Address	Mount Vernon, Ohio 43050			
Property Use	 Residence Business Mixed-use 			

Application Component Evaluation					
Is the building in Knox County?	□ Yes	□ No			
Is the building zoned for business, office/institutional, or industrial?	Yes	□ No			
Is the building primarily used for commercial purposes?	Yes	□ No			
Will the proposed improvements be completed within 12 months?	Yes	□ No			
Does the applicant demonstrate site control?	□ Yes	□ No			
Does the applicant meet all the eligibility requirements?	□ Yes	□ No			
Were all required parts of the application included?	Yes	□ No			
If no, which parts are missing?					

For each item addressed by the Applicant, to the degree indicated, provide a checkmark in the appropriate box. The total evaluation score assigned to each application then is determined by adding the values from all checked items.

Current	Cono	litione
QUITEIL		

Using the items below, gauge the Project Site's current conditions, including building and site improvement needs, and the applicability of FIG assistance.

	N/A	Nominal	Preferred	Extra-ord inary
Prominence and visibility of deteriorated building condition from main streets and roads				
Extent to which Project Site's current conditions are impacting surrounding properties				

Assess the impact of the current conditions below:

Criterion	Point Value	Applicant Score				
Need for exterior improvements to the Project Site – select ONLY ONE (1) of the following choices:						
 Project Site does <i>not</i> have a demonstrated need for improvement 	0					

 Project Site's need for improvements is nominal 	+ 1-5	
 Project Site's need for improvements is preferable 	+ 6-15	/ 20
 Project Site's need for improvements is extraordinary 	+ 16-20	

Bonus Points	
 Property is located in a downtown area or a high traffic concentration area 	/ 10
• Project is a blighted or vacant property, where the project is likely to result in occupancy.	/ 15

Project Scope of Work

Using the items below, gauge the Applicant's Project Scope of Work, the reasons for making such improvements, and the timing in which they will occur.

	N/A	Nominal	Preferred	Extra-ordi nary
Project Scope of Work describes exterior improvements fully and in sufficient detail to gauge need and impact.				
Scope of Work's described improvements will positively impact Project Site's appearance.				
Scope of Work's improvements are in-line with design expectations and build quality typical for similar properties.				
Extent to which the Applicant demonstrates pre-planning via its Scope of Work.				
The project's estimated completion time is reasonable and fits the timeframe.				

Assess the impact of the Scope of Work below:

Criterion	Point Value	Applicant Score		
Quality and comprehensiveness of Applicant's Scope of Work- select ONLY ONE (1) of the following choices:				
 Scope of Work does not adequately describe the exterior enhancement project 	0			
Described Scope is nominal	1-5	/ 20		

Described Scope is <i>preferable</i>	6-15	
• Described Scope is <i>extraordinary</i>	16-20	

	Bonus Points	
•	Project complies with standards described in Mount Vernon Downtown Plan, Village Strategic Plans or Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation	/ 15

Financial Viability					
Using the items below, gauge how well the Applicant demonstrates that its project is financially viable.					
	N/A	Nominal	Preferred	Extra-ordi nary	
Estimated total exterior project costs are reasonable and appropriate to the Project scope.					
Provided contractor bids are in-line with trade customs and comparable projects in the area.					
Applicant demonstrates its awareness of what it will take to manage and complete the Project.					
Project site not marked by obvious significant obstacles to improvement.					
Source(s) of matching funds are sufficient for project completion.					

Assess the impact of the financial viability below:

Criterion	Point Value	Applicant Score		
Project viability and loan repayment – choose ONLY ONE (1) of the following choices:				
 Applicant does not adequately demonstrate a viable project and/or its ability to repay the loan. 	0			
 Applicant demonstrates <i>nominal</i> project viability and loan repayment. 	1-5	/ 20		
 Applicant demonstrates <i>preferred</i> project viability and loan repayment. 	6-15			

•	Applicant demonstrates extraordinary	16-20	
	project viability and loan repayment.	10-20	

Overall Quality of Application						
Using the items below, gauge the overall quality of the Applicant's submitted application, including the nature of the proposed project and the project's likely outcomes.						
	N/A	Nominal	Preferred	Extra-ord inary		
Applicant has supplied a proposal that is logical and easy to follow.						
Project is reasonable in cost, timeframe and amount of work.						
Applicant has completed the entire application, and included any additional materials to allow for full understanding.						
The project, once completed, appears as if it will assist in improving the surrounding neighborhood.						
The nature of the exterior improvement project meets program goals.						
Applicant's described use of FIG funds provides optimal return-on-investment in improving exterior façade.						

Assess the impact of the overall quality below:

Criterion	Point Value	Applicant Score			
Overall quality of Application – choose ONLY ONE (1) of the following choices :					
• Generally, the application describes a <i>nominal</i> exterior improvement project	0-20				
 Generally, the application describes a preferred exterior improvement project 	21-30	/ 40			
 Generally, the application describes an <i>extraordinary</i> exterior improvement project 	31-40				

Final Score	
Add up the totals from each sub-score identified above.	/ 100