Knox County, Ohio Forecasted Housing Need 2021



Brian Sellers and Professor Huachen Li

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction
Purpose
Economy and Demographics
Housing Trends
Forecasted Housing Need4
Econometric Analysis of Housing Demand4
Sources4
Economic and Demographic trends
Knox County Housing Trends
Knox County Forecasted Housing Need
Econometric Analysis of Housing Demand
Introduction and Data:
Empirical Specification:
Results for Successful Sale Regression:
Results for Log of Price:
Results for Days on Market Regression:

EXHIBITS

Figure I: Map of Knox County	5
Figure 2: Residential Unit Listings Heat Map for Knox County	6
Figure 3: Ohio Counties Percent Change in Population	8
Figure 4: Knox County Domestic Migration	8
Figure 5: Educational Attainment	9
Figure 6: Number of Closings for Price Bands by Year Figure 7: Number of Listings for Price Bands by Year	17
Figure 8: Average Days on Market for Price Bands by Year	17
Figure 9: Home Sales Days on Market by Year	18
Figure 10: Living Square Footage by Year	18
Figure 11: Real Home Sales Price by Year Figure 12: Real Home Median List Price by Year	19
Figure 13: Home Sales Price Over List by Year	19
Figure 14: Home Sales Year Built by Year	20
Figure 15: Home Sales Acreage by Year	20
Figure 16: Successful Sales by Year	21
Figure 17: Current Listings as Months of Supply	22

Figure 18: Total Number of Employed People by Year	23
Figure 19: Housing Unit Loss Rate	24
Figure 20: Knox County Inflow/Outflow Job Counts	25
Figure 21: Knox County Future Housing Need	25
Figure 22: Annual New Residential Units in Knox County	26
Figure 23: Forecasted Housing Units Need by Tenure	27
Table 1: Population and Household Overview	7
Table 2: Knox County Geographic Mobility	8
Table 3: Family Type by Presence of Own Children Under 18	9
Table 4: Population by Age	10
Table 5: Employment and Establishment by Job Sector	10
Table 6: Employment Rates	П
Table 7: Knox County Households	12
Table 8: Housing Units by Occupancy Status and Tenure	12
Table 9: Housing Units by Urban/Rural Surroundings	12
Table 10: Owner Occupied Housing Units by Value	13
Table 11: Annual Residential Construction	13
Table 12: Household Income in Past 12-Months	14
Table 13: Structure by Year Built by Tenure	14
Table 14: Number of Bedrooms by Tenure	15
Table 15: Housing Cost as a Percentage of Income by Tenure	15
Table 16: Monthly Cost by Tenure	15
Table 17: Knox County Housing Wage	16
Table 18: Renter-Occupied Units, Gross Rent, and Fair Market Rent by Bedrooms	16
Table 19: Successful and Unsuccessful Sales as a Percentage of All Listings by Year	21
Table 20: Households by Tenure Under Age 55	27
Table 21: Summary Statistics	29
Table 22: Regression Results	30

INTRODUCTION

Brian Sellers is senior economics student at Kenyon College in Gambier, Ohio. Huachen Li, PhD. is an assistant professor of economics at Kenyon College. This study was conducted in collaboration with the Area Development Foundation of Knox County.

PURPOSE

This study estimates the need for future rental and for-sale residential units in Knox County, Ohio. This report expands upon Sellers' (2020) analysis of Knox County housing demand over the last ten years, which identified specific housing characteristics that tend to be "bid up" in the market. This study utilizes observed county-level economic and population trends to project future housing need.

Figure I shows the spatial layout of Knox County, with the central city being Mount Vernon and other incorporated areas/towns such as Fredericktown, Danville, and Centerburg on the periphery. As of the 2010 census, Knox County's population grew 2.6%. The county's population is projected to grow by 1% over the next five years. The Area Development Foundation (ADF), a non-profit organization specializing in economic development, describes a need for improved upper-, middle-, and lower-income housing stock within Knox County to meet anticipated population and job growth.¹

Figure 2 illustrates a heat map of where listings have occurred in Knox County over the past decade. Hot spots include Mount Vernon, Fredericktown, Centerburg, and Apple Valley.

This report addresses:

- Economic and Demographic Overview and Projections
- Housing Trends
- Forecasted Housing Need
- Econometric Analysis of Housing Demand

ECONOMY AND DEMOGRAPHICS

The population of Knox County is 62,322. Population has grown by 2.9% from 2010 to 2019. The county is projected to grow by 1% over the next 5 years. The median household income for occupied housing units in the county is \$57,749. Median household income for owner-occupied units is \$67,869. Median household income for renter-occupied units is \$33,388. The unemployment rate is 3.7%.

HOUSING TRENDS

The housing market in Knox County is currently a sellers-market. Over the past 10 years, average and median sale prices have steadily increased. Days on market across all price bands have fallen. Successful sales as a percentage of all listings have risen. Current listings as "months of supply" is around 4 months. This figure has steadily fallen over the last decade.

¹ "Economic Development Plan," Area Development Foundation of Knox County, 2019, http://knoxadf.com/.

FORECASTED HOUSING NEED

This study forecasts employment growth over the next 10 years, estimates a housing unit "loss rate", and utilizes commuter data to estimate future housing need. A lower- and upper-bound estimate suggests there is a need for 2,810-5,200 new residential units over the next 10 years. This corresponds to an annual housing unit construction need of 281-520 units. The current 5-year average annual residential construction rate is 133 units. Thus, if residential construction continues at its current rate, there will be an annual shortage of 148-387 new housing units.

ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF HOUSING DEMAND

In this section, econometric modeling is utilized to analyze specific housing characteristics that demonstrate high demand. Three regressions are run where the dependent variables are log of price, successful sale, and days on market.

The resulting regression where log of price is the dependent variable produced significant p-values for house age, bedrooms, full baths, presence of garage, acres, approximate total living space, agent hit count, client hit count, lake front, view of lake, brick exterior, miles to higher education, miles to Kokosing, miles to Kokosing^2, and the list months March-October.

The resulting regression where the dependent variable was "successful sale" produced significant pvalues for the independent variable "house age". Ultimately, this suggests that newer homes have a relatively higher probability of resulting in a "successful sale" after being listed on the market. This reflects consumer preferences and also provides optimism for developers looking to construct new units within Knox County.

SOURCES

Our report utilizes a variety of sources for data collection. These include:

- US Census Bureau
- American Community Survey
- PolicyMap
- ESRI
- Knox County Multiple Listing Service
- Ohio Development Services Agency
- One Columbus
- MORPC
- FRED

Figure I: Map of Knox County



Hanoveruw Jefferson Two Mill R. Reiny Live anness Two 314 Chester two BownTw CAN THE OWNER OF THE BUTERTWP Hilliar T organ Licitic nton Twp Remyf Knox Esri, HERE, Garmin, USGS, Intermap, INCREMENT P, NRCan, Esrie METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri Korea, Esri (Thailand), NGCC, (c) Contributors, and the GIS User Community, Esri, HERE, penStreetMap contributors, and the GIS user community Garn Elenton GalabanyTup Note: This figure displays a heat map for location of residential unit listings within Knox County. More dense areas are red and lesser dense areas are green.

Figure 2: Residential Unit Listings Heat Map for Knox County

ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS

Table I shows a demographic overview for Knox County, neighboring Licking County, Franklin County (which contains the city of Columbus), and the state of Ohio. Both population and household counts are included as well as relevant projections.

Table Is Population and	Population and Household Overview									
Household Overview	Knox Co	Knox County		Licking County		Franklin County		Ohio		
	Population	Household	Population	Household	Population	Household	Population	Household		
2000 Census	54,500	21,793	145,491	58,760	1,068,978	471,016	11,353,140	4,783,051		
2010 Census	60,921	25,118	166,492	69,291	1,163,414	527,186	11,536,504	5,127,508		
2019 Estimate	62,322	25,731	176,862	71,200	1,316,756	564,325	11,689,100	5,232,869		
Change 2010-2019	1,401	613	10,370	1,909	153,342	37,139	152,596	105,361		
Percent Change 2010-2019	2.3%	2.4%	6.2%	2.8%	13.2%	7.0%	1.3%	2.1%		
2025 Projected	62,851	26,482	184,286	75,682	1,435,112	623,466				
Change 2019-2025	529	751	7,424	4,482	118,356	59,141				
Percent Change 2019-2025	0.8%	2.9%	4.2%	6.3%	9.0%	10.5%				

Source: US Census Bureau, Ohio Development Services Agency, Projections from MORPC

According to Table I, population in Knox County has grown by 2.9% from 2010 to 2019. The county is projected to grow by 1% over the next 5 years, which is slower than both Licking and Franklin County. From 2010-2019, Knox County experienced 2.4% growth in housing units. Knox County's population grew an estimated 2.3% from 2010-2019. Licking County's population grew an estimated 6.2% from 2010-2019. This represents a 4-percentage point lag in population growth for Knox County. Knox County's population growth has significantly lagged behind other central Ohio counties. Comparatively, population growth estimates are Delaware (12.8 percent), Franklin (13.2 percent), Union (6.1 percent), Fairfield (4.4 percent) and Pickaway (6 percent).²

For Knox, Licking, and Franklin, projected household growth over the next 5 years is 2.9%, 6.3%, and 10.5%, respectively. Both household and population projections come from the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission.

Columbus has experienced significant growth within Franklin County over the past decade. 70% of Central Ohio's population growth in the last decade was in Franklin County (compared with 42% in the 2000s).³ Surrounding counties have benefitted from population spill-over effects as a result. The Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission (MORPC) predicts strong growth for the Central Ohio region over the next 30 years. Model projections suggest the area will become a region of 3 million residents, 1.2 million households, and 1.5 million jobs by 2050. MORPC points to the region's "economic development partnerships and innovative corporate culture, relatively affordable housing and ease of commute, thriving downtown, and strong suburban communities" as drivers of growth.

Knox County's population growth has lagged behind neighboring counties for the past 10 years. This is partly related to a lack employment opportunities in large-scale business expansions. For example, in neighboring Morrow County, a new Dollar Tree distribution center has seen near-full employment since

² Kent Mallett, "Licking County, Central Ohio Population Growth Continues," The Advocate (Newark Advocate, March 25, 2017), https://www.newarkadvocate.com/story/news/local/2017/03/24/licking-county-central-ohio-population-growth-continues/99575698/.

³ "MORPC Population Projections," MORPC, 2020, https://www.morpc.org.

opening.⁴ Knox County also suffered an employment setback when Siemens shut its doors in 2018, effectively laying off over 130 employees. Lack of affordable housing has also introduced population headwinds. Although Knox lacks "300-500-unit building developments", the county is seeking to incentivize real estate progress through tax and financial incentives, which can be found on the ADF's website at knoxadf.com/programs and knoxadf.com/real-estate.

Figure 3 shows percent change in population from 2000-2019 for all Ohio counties. While Knox relatively lacks in population growth behind other central Ohio counties, it has grown relatively quicker than other counties in the state.



Figure 3: Ohio Counties Percent Change in Population

Source: PolicyMap

Table 2 displays geographical mobility for current Knox County residents. The majority of residents stay in the same house as the previous year (85.9%). Most residents that move are relocating to a different residential unit within the county. Figure 4 illustrates domestic migration trends. Since 2009, fewer people have migrated out of the county annually. Annual in-migrants fell from 2009-2011, rose from 2011-2012, and has since hovered around 4,000. Annual In-migrants are consistently larger than out-migrants, which demonstrates migration has been a steady source of population growth for the past decade.

Table	2:	Knox	County	Geographic	Mobility
I able	7:	VUOX	County	Geographic	riobility

	Geographic Mobility				
	Number	Percent			
Same house as previous year	52,095	85.9%			
Different house, same county	4,586	7.6%			
Different county, same state	3,490	4.1%			
Different state	1,321	2.2%			
Abroad	136	0.2%			
Total	60,628	100%			

Figure 4: Knox County Domestic Migration



⁴ Giovanni, "Knox County to Grow…"

Figure 5 illustrates educational attainment for Knox County, Licking County, Franklin County, and the state of Ohio. For Knox County, high school graduates represent 41.5%, the largest of any group. This category is 35.7% in Licking County and 25.0% in Franklin County. Licking County has slightly higher levels of educational attainment. Franklin County has the highest levels of educational attainment in central Ohio: 24.5% hold a Bachelor's degree and 14.2% hold a Master's degree or higher. This is consistent with trends witnessed across America: rates of higher educational attainment are relatively larger in urban areas. For the state of Ohio, high school graduates represent 34.1% and the Bachelor's degree category is 16.4%.



Figure 5: Educational Attainment

Source: American Community Survey

Table 3 shows family type by presence of own children under 18. For Knox County, families with no own children represent the largest group (58%) and married-couple families with children is the second largest (30%). Statistics for Licking County, Franklin County, and Ohio are also included in the table.

Table 3: Family Type by Presence of Own Children Under 18

	Family Type by Presence of Own Children Under 18							
	Knox Co	unty	Licking County		Franklin County		Ohio	
	Pop.	Percent	Рор.	Percent	Pop.	Percent	Pop.	Percent
Married-couple families with children	4,689	30%	12,927	29%	85,431	29%	1507100	37%
Male householder, no wife present, with own children	629	4%	1,388	3%	12,791	4%	184280	5%
Female householder, no husband present, with own children	1,296	8%	4,191	9%	41,390	14%	582688	14%
Families with no own children	9,142	58%	26,161	59%	157,689	53%	1787759	44%
Total	15,756	100%	44,667	100%	297,301	100%	4,061,827	100%

Source: American Community Survey

Table 4 illustrates population by age in Knox County. The median age of Knox County is 38.9. The median age of Licking County is 39.7. For Franklin County, this number is 34.0. Of the three counties, Knox has the largest percentage makeup of the age group "65 years and more" (17%). Franklin County's percentage category for "25 to 44 years" is the largest in the three counties (31%). Columbus' growth has accelerated in recent years as it has become an attractive hub for young professionals, which is likely driving the relatively large category of "25 to 44 years".

Table 4: Population by Age

	Population by Age								
	Knox Co	unty	Licking County		Franklin County		Ohio		
	Pop.	Percent	Pop.	Percent	Pop.	Percent	Pop.	Percent	
Under 5 years	3,616	6%	10,393	6%	91,537	7%	686,672	6%	
5 to 17 years	10,412	17%	29,975	17%	207,535	16%	1,888,175	16%	
18 to 24 years	7,186	12%	15,837	9%	127,658	10%	1,064,448	9%	
25 to 44 years	13,293	22%	40,747	24%	400,978	31%	2,948,865	25%	
45 to 64 years	16,170	26%	48,200	28%	301,623	24%	3,057,392	26%	
65 years and more	10,538	17%	27,141	16%	146,002	11%	2,043,548	17%	
Total	61,215	100%	172,293	100%	1,275,333	100%	11,689,100	100%	

Source: American Community Survey

Table 5 displays occupational trends within Knox County. Within the private sector, 32.4% of all jobs are goods-producing (natural resources and mining, construction, and manufacturing). Goods-producing number of establishments have increased by 10.4% since 2012. Goods-producing average employment has increased 3.4% since 2012. Since 2012, service-providing number of establishments have increased by 0.8% and service-providing average employment has increased by 9.3%.

	Employment and Establishments by Job Sector						
Table 5: Employment and Establishment by Job Sector	Number of Establishments	Number of Establishment % Change Since 2012	Average Employment	Average Employment % Change Since 2012			
Natural Resources and Mining	30	15.4%	182	1.7%			
Construction	99	19.3%	938	52.0%			
Manufacturing	72	-1.4%	4,524	-3.0%			
Trade, Transportation, Utilities	277	-2.1%	2,950	4.9%			
Information	15	-21.1%	149	-16.3%			
Financial Services	104	4.0%	519	8.1%			
Professional and Business Services	136	1.5%	1,456	51.2%			
Education and Health Services	144	11.6%	4,255	1.9%			
Leisure and Hospitality	107	-1.8%	1,919	14.8%			
Other Services	87	-1.1%	544	11.0%			
Federal Gov't	N/A	N/A	104	0.0%			
State Gov't	N/A	N/A	289	-18.6%			
Local Gov't	N/A	N/A	2,336	2.8%			

Source: Ohio Office of Research County Profiles

Table 6 shows employment figures for both Licking and Knox County. For Knox County, the total civilian labor force has increased over the last 5 years. The unemployment rate has fallen from 2015-2019 within Knox County.

	Civilian Labor Force								
		Knox County		Licking County					
Table 6: Employment Rates	Employed (Number)	Unemployed (Number)	Unemployment Rate	Employed	Unemployed	Unemployment Rate			
2015	29,700	1,500	4.7%	83,000	3,900	4.5%			
2016	29,800	1,500	4.7%	84,000	3,900	4.4%			
2017	29,900	1,400	4.5%	85,000	3,800	4.2%			
2018	30,000	1,400	4.3%	85,900	3,500	4.0%			
2019	30,300	1,200	3.9%	87,200	3,400	3.7%			

Source: Ohio Office of Research County Profiles

KNOX COUNTY HOUSING TRENDS

Table 7 shows figures for number of households in Knox County. In 2000, total number of households was 21,793. From 2000 to 2010, the number of households increased by 3,325 (15%). Households increased by 613 (2%) from 2010 to 2019. Projected household growth from 2019 to 2025 is 3%.

Table 7: Knox County Households

	Year						
	2000 (Census)	2010 (Census)	2019 (Estimate)	2025 (Projected)			
Households	21,793	25,118	25,731	26,482			
Household Change	-	3325	613	751			
Percent Change	-	15%	2%	3%			

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, MORPC

Table 8 shows housing units by occupancy status and tenure in Knox County. For 2010 through 2025, estimated and projected percentage of occupied housing units is around 90%. Of these units, around 62% are owner-occupied while 28% are renter-occupied.

Table 8: Housing Units by Occupancy Status and Tenure

	Housing Units by Occupancy Status and Tenure						
	2010		202	20	2025 (Projected)		
	Number	Percent	Number Percent		Number	Percent	
Total Housing Units	25,118	100%	26,406	100%	27,132	100%	
Occupied	22,607	90%	23,683	90%	24,208	89%	
Owner	16,390	65%	16,393	62%	16,766	62%	
Renter	6,217	25%	7,290	28%	7,442	27%	
Vacant	2,511	10%	2,723	10%	2,924	11%	

Source: US Census Bureau, ESRI, One Columbus

The share of renter-occupied households is modest, but characteristic of a more suburban and rural county. Table 9 depicts 2010 Census data for the number of households in urban and rural areas in Knox County. 47% of housing units are in urban clusters, such as Mount Vernon, while 53% are in rural areas.

Table 9: Housing Units by	Housing Units By			
Urban/Rural Surroundings	Urban/Rural Surroundings			
5	2010 (Census)			
	Number	Percent		
Total	25,118	100%		
In Urbanized Areas	0	0%		
In Urban Clusters	11,748	47%		
Rural Housing Units	13,370	53%		

Source: US Census Bureau, ESRI, One Columbus

Table 10 shows owner-occupied housing units by value. For both 2010 and 2020, the largest category was homes that are \$100,000-\$149,000 in value. The price-bands directly surrounding this category are the second largest, which are homes that are valued at \$50,000-\$99,999 and \$150,000-\$199,999. For 2020, there is a slight bump in the number of \$300,000-399,999 homes. Median home values have increased over the last 10 years by around \$16,000. Average home values have risen as well.

		Owner Occupied Housing Units by Value					
	ible TU: Owner Occupied	201	0	202	2020		
п	busing Onits by Value	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
	Total	16,393	100.0%	16,766	100.0%		
	<\$50,000	869	5.3%	738	4.4%		
	\$50,000-\$99,999	3,123	19.1%	2,661	15.9%		
	\$100,000-\$149,999	4,199	25.6%	3,957	23.6%		
	\$150,000-\$199,999	3,165	19.3%	3,207	19.1%		
	\$200,000-\$249,999	1,553	9.5%	1,729	10.3%		
	\$250,000-\$299,999	1,260	7.7%	1,577	9.4%		
	\$300,000-\$399,999	1,388	8.5%	1,874	11.2%		
	\$400,000-\$499,999	340	2.1%	421	2.5%		
	\$500,000-\$749,999	345	2.1%	422	2.5%		
	\$750,000-\$999,999	87	0.5%	122	0.7%		
	\$1,000,000-\$1,499,999	50	0.3%	45	0.3%		
	\$1,500,000-\$1,999,999	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	\$2,000,000+	14	0.1%	13	0.1%		
	Median Value	\$150,087		\$166,012			
	Average Value	\$186,371		\$202,668			

Source: US Census Bureau, ESRI, One Columbus

From 2014-2019, an annual average of 132 residential units have been constructed in Knox County, which is shown in Table 11. This figure is 268 for Licking County. Total valuation for new residential units has consistently increased within Knox County from 2014-2018.

	Residential Construction						
Table II: Annual Residential	Knox	County	Lickin	g County			
Construction	Number of	Total Valuation	Number of	Total Valuation			
	Units	(000)	Units	(000)			
2014	119	\$20,543	248	\$51,595			
2015	121	\$21,588	280	\$69,198			
2016	148	\$26,885	291	\$72 <i>,</i> 585			
2017	132	\$28,133	263	\$69,424			
2018	133	\$29,489	261	\$66,161			
2019	137	\$33,907	262	\$79,169			
Annual Average Residential	132	\$26 758	268	\$68.022			
Construction	192	<i>420,130</i>	200	<i>400,022</i>			

Source: Ohio Office of Research County Profiles

Table 12 shows household income ranges for both owner- and renter-occupied homes. In the last 12 months, the largest price-band group for occupied housing units (both owner and rental) was \$50,000 to \$74,999. The price-bands directly surrounding this group were the second largest. This trend is also witnessed in owner-occupied housing units. For rental-occupied housing units, there is a greater percentage of lower-income households.

Table 12: Household	able 12: Household								
Income in Past 12-Months	Knox County Household Income in Past 12 Months (2019)								
	Occupied H	lousing Units	Owner-Occupied	Owner-Occupied Housing Units		Renter-Occupied Housing Units			
Household Income Range:	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
Less than \$5,000	519	2.2%	229	1.4%	290	4.5%			
\$5,000 to \$9,999	958	4.1%	219	1.3%	739	11.4%			
\$10,000 to \$14,999	807	3.5%	254	1.5%	553	8.5%			
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1,016	4.4%	478	2.9%	538	8.3%			
\$20,000 to \$24,999	1,279	5.5%	747	4.5%	532	8.2%			
\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,966	8.5%	1,235	7.4%	731	11.2%			
\$35,000 to \$49,999	3,482	15.0%	2,523	15.1%	959	14.7%			
\$50,000 to \$74,999	4,748	20.4%	3,516	21.0%	1,232	18.9%			
\$75,000 to \$99,999	3,559	15.3%	3,070	18.3%	489	7.5%			
\$100,000 to \$149,999	3,324	14.3%	2,954	17.6%	370	5.7%			
\$150,000 or more	1,590	6.8%	1,515	9.1%	75	1.2%			
Total	23,248	100%	16,740	100%	6,508	100%			
Median household income (\$)	57	,749	67,8	69	33	3,388			

Source: American Community Survey (2019)

Table 13 shows structure by year built by tenure for Knox County, Licking County, the State of Ohio, and the United States. There is a greater percentage of homes in Knox County built before 1939 relative to the other areas of comparison. In Knox County, renter-occupied homes tend to be older than owner-occupied homes. The relative higher age of Knox County homes suggests a greater need for new housing development.

Table 13: Structure by	by Structure by Year Built by Tenure (2019 ACS) as a Percentage							
Year Built by Tenure	Knox (County	Licking	County	OI	nio	United	States
Year Built:	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter
2014 or later	1%	1%	3%	5%	2%	3%	4%	5%
2010 to 2013	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%
2000 to 2009	17%	9%	17%	10%	11%	7%	15%	10%
1990 to 1999	17%	11%	19%	12%	13%	11%	15%	13%
1980 to 1989	7%	10%	7%	6%	8%	11%	13%	14%
1970 to 1979	11%	12%	14%	27%	13%	16%	14%	17%
1960 to 1969	7%	9%	9%	9%	12%	12%	10%	11%
1950 to 1959	9%	15%	10%	10%	15%	12%	11%	9%
1940 to 1949	5%	5%	4%	6%	6%	6%	5%	5%
1939 or earlier	23%	27%	15%	14%	18%	19%	11%	13%
Total (Count)	16,740	6,508	47,161	19,443	3,123,733	1,606,607	78,724,862	44,077,990

Source: American Community Survey (2019)

Table 14 shows number of bedrooms by tenure as a percentage. Knox County's share of one bedroom and two-bedroom rental units is lower than both Ohio's and the United States. This could be a reflection of the average number of family members in a household for the county, which may be placing upwards pressure on demand for units with more bedrooms.

Table 14: Number of		Number of Bedrooms by Tenure (2019 ACS) as a Percentage							
Bedrooms by	Knox C	County	Licking	County	Oł	nio	United	States	
Tenure	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	
Studio	1%	3%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	6%	
1 bedroom	1%	21%	1%	21%	1%	23%	2%	25%	
2 bedrooms	17%	34%	14%	38%	17%	41%	17%	38%	
3 bedrooms	55%	31%	55%	27%	53%	25%	49%	24%	
4 bedrooms	23%	9%	26%	8%	25%	6%	24%	6%	
5 or more bedrooms	4%	3%	4%	1%	5%	1%	7%	1%	
Total (Count)	16,740	6,508	46,688	17,347	3,089,046	1,587,312	77,274,381	43,481,667	

Source: American Community Survey (2019)

Table 15 shows housing cost as a percentage of income by tenure. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) considers paying more than 30% of income for housing to be a cost burden. Compared to Licking County, Ohio, and the United States, Knox County has a relatively lower share of both rental and owner-occupied housing units paying more than 30% of income for housing. As population grows and demand for homes increases, an increase in the supply of housing units could improve housing affordability.

Table 15: Housing								
Cost as a			of Income by Ter	nure (2019 ACS))			
Percentage of	Knox County		Licking County		Ohio		United States	
Income by Tenure	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter
Less than 20%	60.6%	32.8%	60.3%	28 <mark>.9%</mark>	61.9%	<u>28</u> .2%	56.4%	24.1%
20%-29%	22.3%	17.6%	22.3%	23.2%	19.6%	22.9%	20.5%	22.7%
30% or More	16.6%	39.2%	17.1%	41.3%	17.9%	41.7%	22.3%	46.0%
Not Computed	0.5%	10.5%	0.3%	6.6%	0.7%	7.2%	0.8%	7.2%
Total (Count)	16,740	6,508	46,688	17,347	3,089,046	1,587,312	77,274,381	43,481,667

Source: American Community Survey (2019)

Table 16 shows average monthly cost by tenure. Compared to Licking County, Ohio, and the United States, average monthly housing costs for both owners and renters are lower in Knox County.

Table 16: Monthly Cost by Tenure	Monthly Cost by Tenure (2019 ACS)						
Tenure:	Knox County	Licking County	Ohio	United States			
Owner	\$910	\$1,080	\$953	\$1,132			
Renter	\$737	\$852	\$808	\$1,062			

Source: American Community Survey (2019)

The National Low Income Housing Coalition (NLIHC) publishes annual affordable housing figures for counties across America.⁵ The 2020 "Housing Wage", which represents the "hourly wage a renter needs to earn in order to afford a rental home of a particular size at the Fair Market Rent", is shown in Table 17. The hourly "Housing Wage" for a four-bedroom residential unit in 2020 was \$18.92. This is higher than the Ohio minimum wage, which is currently \$8.80 per hour.

⁵ "Out of Reach: Ohio," National Low Income Housing Coalition, July 13, 2020, https://reports.nlihc.org/oor/ohio.

Number of Bedrooms	Housing Wage	Fair Market Rent (FMR)	Annual Income Needed to Afford FMR
One-Bedroom	\$10.88	\$566	\$22,640
Two-Bedroom	\$13.60	\$707	\$28,280
Three-Bedroom	\$18.04	\$938	\$37,520
Four-Bedroom	\$18.92	\$984	\$39,360

Table 17: Knox County Housing Wage

Source: NLIHC

Table 17 also displays Fair Market Rent trends by bedroom size within Knox County for the last five years. The "Fair Market Rent" statistic is HUD's estimate for what a household can expect to pay for both rent and utilities of a modest rental home in the current market. NLIHC calculated annual income needed to afford a home at the Fair Market Rent.⁶ This figure is shown in the fourth column in Table 18. A household would need to earn \$22,640 to afford a one-bedroom unit. This minimum salary increases as number of bedrooms increases. To afford a four-bedroom unit, a household would need to earn \$39,360.

According to the NLIHC, estimated mean renter wage in Knox County is \$13.48, which suggests that the rent affordable at this wage is \$701. A one-bedroom unit is affordable at this wage and a twobedroom unit is slightly unaffordable. Table 18 combines data from Table 14 and Table 17. Threebedroom units represent the largest share of renter-occupied units in Knox County. Median monthly rent for one- to four-bedroom units do not exceed the Fair Market Rent, suggesting rent prices by number of bedrooms is relatively affordable in Knox County. According to Table 14, however, 39.2% of renters in Knox County are paying a rent that exceeds 30% or more of income.

Tabl	e 18. Renter-Occupied Units						
Gros	s Rent, and Fair Market Rent edrooms	Renter-Occupied Units, Gross Rent, and Fair Market Rent by Bedrooms					
	Number of Bedrooms	Renter- Occupied Units by Bedrooms (Percentage)	Median Monthly Rent	Fair Market Rent (FMR)			
	One-Bedroom	21%	\$550	\$566			
	Two-Bedroom	34%	\$701	\$707			
	Three-Bedroom	31%	\$870	\$938			
	Four-Bedroom	9%	\$817	\$984			
	Five-Bedroom	3%	\$928	Data Unavailable			

Source: American Community Survey (2019), NLIHC

⁶ Annual income need to afford FMR is calculated by multiplying FMR by 12 then dividing the outcome by .3

Number of annual listings and closings for units under \$100k has been trending down, which is illustrated in Figure 6 and Figure 7. Number of closings for residential units under \$100,000 rose from 2010 to 2013 and has steadily declined (despite a temporary jump in 2016) ever since. Additionally, number of closings for all other price bands have consistently increased every year.





Figure 8 illustrates how average days on market for all price bands have both decreased and converged over the past decade. For 2019, average days on market ranged from around 60 to 100 days across all price bands.



Figure 8: Average Days on Market for Price Bands by Year

This figure shows average days on market for price bands by year within Knox County. Data was sourced from the Knox County MLS and spans 2010 to 2021.

Figure 9 illustrates home sales days on market for successful sales in Knox County from 2010-2021. In the past decade, homes sales days on market has fallen dramatically. From the 2012 peak to the 2019 trough, average days on market has decreased by around 63%. This trend signifies pent up demand.



Figure 9: Home Sales Days on Market by Year

Figure 10 illustrates average and median approximate total living square footage by year. Both average and median living square footage have slightly increased from 2010-2021.

Figure 10: Living Square Footage by Year



Figure 11 displays real home sales price by year. Since 2010, both real median and real average housing sales prices have risen. From 2010 to 2021, median real home sales price increased by more than 50% from \$110,000 to over \$200,000.

Figure 12 illustrates real home median list price by year. Median list price has also consistently increased throughout the decade. From 2010 to 2021, median real home list price by year increased by around 50%.

Interestingly, average and median home sales price divided by list price has risen over the same period, which is shown in Figure 14. This demonstrates that the numerator in $\frac{Sales \ Price}{List \ Price}$ is growing faster than the denominator. Therefore, even with the considerable increase in list price, demand for homes is growing at a rate such that homes continue to be relatively bid up over time.



Figure 13: Home Sales Price Over List



Figure 14 illustrates average and median year-built for homes sales by year. While both trends display fluctuations throughout the decade, there has been no clear general upward or downward trend. There may be observable trends for year built based on price band. For example, average year built for residential units under \$100,000 fell from 2015-2019.



Figure 15 illustrates home sales acreage by year. Median home sales acreage has stagnated over the decade. Average acreage has generally trended downward from 2.5 to 2.0 from 2010-2021.





The proportion of total residential unit listings that have been successfully sold has risen over the past decade. This is shown in Figure 16 and Table 19. An "unsuccessful" sale is one that is either withdrawn, withdrawn with recission, or expired. In 2020, over 90% of listings were successful.



Figure 16: Successful Sales by Year

active-under contract, contingent-financing, contingent-financing & Insp, contingent-home sale, contingent-inspection, or under contract.

Table 19: Successfu Percentage of All L	II and Unsuccessful Sales as a . .istings by Year	Successful and Unsuccessful Sales by Year		
	List Voor	Successful	Unsuccessful	
	LIST fedi	Sale	Sale	
	2010	72.83%	27.17%	
	2011	46.19%	53.81%	
	2012	61.17%	38.83%	
	2013	64.19%	35.81%	
	2014	62.61%	37.39%	
	2015	68.48%	31.52%	
	2016	78.01%	21.99%	
	2017	80.07%	19.93%	
	2018	80.87%	19.13%	
	2019	83.47%	16.53%	
	2020	90.13%	9.87%	

"Months of supply" describes the number of months it would take to sell all of the inventory. This is under the assumption that sales continue at their current rate and no inventory is added.⁷ 6 months of supply is generally considered a balanced market and associated with modest price appreciation. More than 6 months is considered a buyers-market and less than 6 months is considered a sellers-market. Figure 17 displays Knox County months of supply data for the last decade. A black horizontal line is fitted at 6 months of supply. Knox County supply of available listings have steadily declined over the past decade, flipping to a sellers-market after 2016. The housing market is currently a sellers-market.



Figure 17: Current Listings as Months of Supply

This picture calculates the current listings in a month and estimates the number of months it would take to sell all of the inventory. This is under the assumption that the selling will continue at the rate of the previous two-year average number of closings by month and no new inventory would be added. The horizontal line at 6 months of supply indicates the switch from a buyers market (more than 6 months) to a sellers market (less than 6 months of supply).

⁷ Meredith Dunn, "Inventory and Months' Supply," www.nar.realtor (National Association of Realtors, January 31, 2020), https://www.nar.realtor/blogs/economists-outlook/inventory-and-months-supply.

KNOX COUNTY FORECASTED HOUSING NEED

To forecast new housing construction need over the next ten years, this study projects future employment growth, estimates an annual housing unit "loss rate", and utilizes commuter data to produce a lower- and upper-bound estimate.

In order to estimate housing need over the next ten years, job growth is first forecasted. Labor force trends are closely related to housing need. In essence, workers need residential units to live in. Using quarterly data on total number of employed people in Knox County, an autoregressive statistical model is used to forecast job growth over the next 10 years. This model predicts future values based on past values, which date back to the first quarter of 1990. Figure 18 shows historical and forecasted number of employed people in Knox County by year. 68% and 95% confidence intervals are also included in the projection.



Figure 18: Total Number of Employed People by Year

This figure shows total number of employed people in Knox County by year. Data was sourced from FRED and spans 1990 to 2021.

A lower- and upper-bound "jobs growth to housing need" ratio is utilized to predict annual housing unit construction need. Census data on "family type by employment status" is collected to estimate the lower-bound. In 2020, 50.1% of Knox County families had a "husband and wife in the labor force", while 49.9% of families had only one partner participating in the labor force. A weighted average is calculated, which suggests for every 1 person participating in the labor force, .75 housing units are needed.

It should be noted that this relationship is an estimate and may contain measurement errors. First, people participating in the labor force can either be "unemployed" or "employed". The above forecast only observes total number of employed people, which may understate estimates for future housing

need. Additionally, the labor force to housing need ratio was calculated using solely "family type employment status" data. Statistics for both children and unrelated people living together that are participating in the labor force are not included, which may lead to overstating estimates for future housing need. Finally, statistics for employed people who live outside Knox County but commute into the county for work are not observed.

Studies by the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission and the Joint Center for Housing Studies at Harvard University have suggested a jobs growth to housing need ratio of 1:1, which this study utilizes as an upper-bound estimate.

The mean forecast predicts that there will be **32,172 people employed in Knox County in 2031**. This represents an **addition of 2,569 number of employed people over the next 10 years**. Using the 0.75-1.00 ratios, an estimated 1,925-2,569 additional housing units are needed over the next 10 years to keep up with employment growth trends. This corresponds to an average annual construction of 192-297 housing units based solely on employment growth projections.

Residential units are permanently removed from the market every year. The U.S. Census Bureau provides housing unit loss rates by region and age for the United States, which are shown in Figure 19. Data from the Multiple Listing Service is used to estimate Knox County age categories. Homes that are 60 or more years make up the largest category, which is 41%. A weighted average is calculated, which suggests the Knox County housing loss rate is 3.46 units lost per 1,000 units. With 25,797 residential units currently in the county, **the annual number of homes lost per year in Knox County is 89 units.**

	Housing Unit Loss Rate						
Age of Home	Midwest Loss Rate (Units Lost/1,000 Units)	Knox County Age Categories	Knox County Housing Loss Rate (Units Lost/1,000 Units)	Knox County Annual Number of Homes Lost per year			
10 years or less	0.00	3%					
11 to 30 years	0.37	35%	3 46	89			
31 to 59 years	2.57	22%	5.40	85			
60 or more years	6.85	41%					

Figure 19: Housing Unit Loss Rate

Source: US Census Bureau

Lastly, commuter data is utilized to estimate the current housing shortage in the county. Commuter data helps account for potential hidden pent-up demand for adequate housing for the existing workforce. Studies have demonstrated that quality of life improves as commute times decrease. Further, having the full benefit of placing family roots in a single community is an advantage many people would prefer. Figure 20 shows 2018 inflow and outflow job counts for Knox County. 43.9% of workers are employed in but live outside Knox County. Due to the lack of survey data that would help demonstrate whether or not these commuters would rather live inside or outside the county, a lower- and upperbound calculation is utilized to estimate the market desired percentage of "employed in but living outside the county".

The share of people "employed in but living outside Knox County" has steadily risen from 34% in 2003 to 43% in 2018. In 2007, new annual residential construction significantly decreased after the recession. New residential construction has struggled to recover to pre-2007 levels. The percentage of "employed in Knox County but living outside the county" in 2006 was 36.7%. This figure is used as the upper-bound for the "market desired" percent of commuters. The current figure of 43.9% is used as the lower-bound. Ultimately, this study estimates that there are currently between 0-1,746 people that commute into Knox County for work that would otherwise choose to live in the county if the current shortage did not exist.



Figure 20: Knox County Inflow/Outflow Job Counts

The average annual construction rate of residential units over the last 5 years in Knox County is 133 units. Figure 21 illustrates projected housing need (upper bound = blue, lower bound = purple) and extends the recent average annual construction rate linearly (red) over the next 10 years. If residential unit construction rates continue at their current pace, there will be a growing shortage for new residential units.

Figure 21: Knox County Future Housing Need



Figure 22 shows historical and forecasted annual new residential units in Knox County. New private housing structures authorized by building permits grew in the 1990s, reached its peak in 2000, then fell in 2008. During the 2010s, annual new housing units have slightly increased, but still significantly lag behind pre-2007 annual figures. The linear red line represents the 2014-2019 annual average of 133 newly constructed housing units in Knox County. The blue line represents the upper-bound forecasted annual housing unit construction need of 520 units. The purple line represents the lower-bound forecasted annual housing unit construction need of 281 units.

The upper-bound projection suggests there is an annual need for 520 new residential units per year over the next 10 years. This estimate takes into account projected employment growth, annual loss rate, and current commuter data. If housing construction rates continue at their 2014-2019 average rates, there will be an annual construction shortage of 387 units.



Figure 22: Annual New Residential Units in Knox County

This figure shows historical and forecasted annual housing unit construction. Average residential unit construction rate is a linear projection based on average annual residential construction rates in Knox County from 2014-2019. Data was sourced from FRED. In 2019, 69% of all occupied households in Knox County were owner occupied and 31% were renter occupied. To estimate future housing need by tenure, these statistics are first adjusted to reflect tenure by age. Specifically, statistics for households by tenure for ages under 55 are utilized in order to better reflect the distribution of renter-occupied and owner-occupied households for people actively in the labor force. Table 20 depicts households by tenure for people under 55 in Knox County. For occupied households under 55, homeowner households accounted for 64% and renter households accounted for 36%.

Households by Tenure Under Age 55 (2019 ACS)				
Tenure:	Number	Percent		
Owner	7587	64%		
Renter	4316	36%		
Total	11903	100%		

Table 20: Households by Tenure Under Age 55

Source: American Community Survey

Using the aforementioned distributions of renter and owner-occupied units under 55, figures for forecasted housing need by tenure can be estimated. Over the next 10 years, an estimated 2,810-5,200 additional housing units are needed. An estimated 1,011-1,872 renter units are needed and an estimated 1,798-3,328 homeowner units are needed.



Figure 23: Forecasted Housing Units Need by Tenure

ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF HOUSING DEMAND

INTRODUCTION AND DATA:

Many factors influence one's decision making when purchasing a home. These include both variables intrinsic to the residential unit itself, such as number of bathrooms, bedrooms, and floors, and external factors such as township, school district, and distance to landmarks. This section highlights the various kinds of housing characteristics that have demonstrated high demand in the past decade. Statistical inference and econometric modeling are used to examine how internal and external housing characteristics impact price and the probability that a listed unit will sell. Data is collected from the MLS of the Knox County Board of Realtors. 7,930 observations of residential sales from January 2010 through July 2021 are utilized.

We are interested in how a housing unit's distance to landmarks may influence its sale price. Using publicly available shapefiles from the Knox County Tax Map office, we calculated the distance from every residential unit to its nearest relevant landmark using ArcMap, a geospatial processing program. We calculated distance to two landmarks: "Miles to Higher Education", which includes Kenyon College, Mount Vernon Nazarene University, and Central Ohio Technical College and "Miles to Kokosing", which represents miles to the Kokosing River.

Summary statistics for independent and dependent variables are displayed in Table 21. Some independent variables are squared as they may demonstrate a quadratic relationship to the dependent variables of interest.

EMPIRICAL SPECIFICATION:

Three regressions are run that contain identical independent variables but different dependent variables. The dependent variables are the "Successful Sale", "Log of Price", and "Days on Market". Independent variables included in the model are house age, bedrooms, full baths, presence of garage, acres, approximate total living space, agent hit count, client hit count, view of golf course, lake front, view of lake, metal roof, shingle roof, slate roof, vinyl exterior, wood exterior, brick exterior, miles to education, miles to Kokosing, list month, list year fixed effects, and school district fixed effects.

The resulting models where "Log of Price" and "Days on Market" are the dependent variables take the form $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + ... + \beta_n X_n + u$. Y_i represents the dependent variables, β_0 is the intercept, $\beta_i X_i$ are the independent variables, and u is the error term.

The resulting model where "Successful Sale" is the dependent variable is a logistic model. The "logit" model is a probability model with two categories in the dependent variable. The binary dependent variable takes on values 0 and 1. If a listed home results in a "successful sale", it is assigned a value of 1. If a listed home does not result in a "successful sale", it is assigned a value of 0. The logit model illustrates the probability of a successful sale given the characteristics of a home on a market.

Results are displayed in Table 22.

Table 21: Summary Statistics

Variable Observations Mean Standard Deviation Log of Price 7,930 11.72 0.68 House Age 7,930 50.70 39.78 Bedrooms 7,930 3.18 0.82 Full Baths 7,930 1.88 0.75 Presence of Garage 7,930 2.37 8.67 Approximate Total Living Space 7,930 1972.58 871.76 MLS Agent Hit Count 7,930 24.90 20.61 MLS Client Hit Count 7,930 0.01 0.11 Lake Front 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Golf Course 7,930 0.05 0.22 Metal Roof 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Lake 7,930 0.03 0.18 Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.03 0.18 Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education^2 7,930 2.23 3.50
Log of Price 7,930 11.72 0.68 House Age 7,930 50.70 39.78 Bedrooms 7,930 3.18 0.82 Full Baths 7,930 1.88 0.75 Presence of Garage 7,930 0.84 0.36 Acres 7,930 2.37 8.67 Approximate Total Living Space 7,930 24.90 20.61 MLS Agent Hit Count 7,930 47.74 43.52 View of Golf Course 7,930 0.01 0.11 Lake Front 7,930 0.05 0.22 Metal Roof 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Lake 7,930 0.03 0.18 Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education ^2 7,930 0.41 4.09 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50
House Age 7,930 50.70 39.78 Bedrooms 7,930 3.18 0.82 Full Baths 7,930 1.88 0.75 Presence of Garage 7,930 0.84 0.36 Acres 7,930 2.37 8.67 Approximate Total Living Space 7,930 24.90 20.61 MLS Agent Hit Count 7,930 47.74 43.52 View of Golf Course 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Golf Course 7,930 0.05 0.22 Metal Roof 7,930 0.13 0.33 Shingle Roof 7,930 0.64 0.48 Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Wood Exterior 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Higher Education ^2 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 L
Bedrooms 7,930 3.18 0.82 Full Baths 7,930 1.88 0.75 Presence of Garage 7,930 0.84 0.36 Acres 7,930 2.37 8.67 Approximate Total Living Space 7,930 1972.58 871.76 MLS Agent Hit Count 7,930 24.90 20.61 MLS Client Hit Count 7,930 0.01 0.11 Lake Front 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Golf Course 7,930 0.05 0.22 Metal Roof 7,930 0.80 0.40 Slate Roof 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 L
Full Baths 7,930 1.88 0.75 Presence of Garage 7,930 0.84 0.36 Acres 7,930 2.37 8.67 Approximate Total Living Space 7,930 1972.58 871.76 MLS Agent Hit Count 7,930 24.90 20.61 MLS Client Hit Count 7,930 47.74 43.52 View of Golf Course 7,930 0.01 0.11 Lake Front 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Lake 7,930 0.05 0.22 Metal Roof 7,930 0.80 0.40 Slate Roof 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month January 7,930 0.05 0.23
Presence of Garage 7,930 0.84 0.36 Acres 7,930 2.37 8.67 Approximate Total Living Space 7,930 1972.58 871.76 MLS Agent Hit Count 7,930 24.90 20.61 MLS Client Hit Count 7,930 47.74 43.52 View of Golf Course 7,930 0.01 0.11 Lake Front 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Lake 7,930 0.05 0.22 Metal Roof 7,930 0.80 0.40 Slate Roof 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month January 7,930 0.05 0.23
Acres 7,930 2.37 8.67 Approximate Total Living Space 7,930 1972.58 871.76 MLS Agent Hit Count 7,930 24.90 20.61 MLS Client Hit Count 7,930 47.74 43.52 View of Golf Course 7,930 0.01 0.11 Lake Front 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Lake 7,930 0.05 0.22 Metal Roof 7,930 0.13 0.33 Shingle Roof 7,930 0.80 0.40 Slate Roof 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month January 7,930 0.05 0.23
Approximate Total Living Space7,9301972.58871.76MLS Agent Hit Count7,93024.9020.61MLS Client Hit Count7,93047.7443.52View of Golf Course7,9300.010.11Lake Front7,9300.030.16View of Lake7,9300.050.22Metal Roof7,9300.130.33Shingle Roof7,9300.800.40Slate Roof7,9300.030.18Vinyl Exterior7,9300.640.48Wood Exterior7,9300.130.34Brick Exterior7,9300.150.35Miles to Higher Education ^27,93028.33410.49Miles to Kokosing7,9302.233.50Miles to Kokosing^27,93017.25406.12List MonthJanuary7,9300.050.23
MLS Agent Hit Count 7,930 24.90 20.61 MLS Client Hit Count 7,930 47.74 43.52 View of Golf Course 7,930 0.01 0.11 Lake Front 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Lake 7,930 0.05 0.22 Metal Roof 7,930 0.13 0.33 Shingle Roof 7,930 0.80 0.40 Slate Roof 7,930 0.03 0.18 Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month
MLS Client Hit Count 7,930 47.74 43.52 View of Golf Course 7,930 0.01 0.11 Lake Front 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Lake 7,930 0.05 0.22 Metal Roof 7,930 0.13 0.33 Shingle Roof 7,930 0.80 0.40 Slate Roof 7,930 0.03 0.18 Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 28.33 410.49 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month
View of Golf Course 7,930 0.01 0.11 Lake Front 7,930 0.03 0.16 View of Lake 7,930 0.05 0.22 Metal Roof 7,930 0.13 0.33 Shingle Roof 7,930 0.80 0.40 Slate Roof 7,930 0.03 0.18 Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 28.33 410.49 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month January 7,930 0.05 0.23
Lake Front7,9300.030.16View of Lake7,9300.050.22Metal Roof7,9300.130.33Shingle Roof7,9300.800.40Slate Roof7,9300.030.18Vinyl Exterior7,9300.640.48Wood Exterior7,9300.150.35Miles to Higher Education7,9303.414.09Miles to Higher Education^27,93028.33410.49Miles to Kokosing7,9302.233.50Miles to Kokosing^27,93017.25406.12List Month7,9300.050.23
View of Lake7,9300.050.22Metal Roof7,9300.130.33Shingle Roof7,9300.800.40Slate Roof7,9300.030.18Vinyl Exterior7,9300.640.48Wood Exterior7,9300.130.34Brick Exterior7,9300.150.35Miles to Higher Education7,9303.414.09Miles to Higher Education^27,93028.33410.49Miles to Kokosing7,9302.233.50Miles to Kokosing^27,93017.25406.12List Month7,9300.050.23
Metal Roof 7,930 0.13 0.33 Shingle Roof 7,930 0.80 0.40 Slate Roof 7,930 0.03 0.18 Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Higher Education^2 7,930 28.33 410.49 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month January 7,930 0.05 0.23
Shingle Roof 7,930 0.80 0.40 Slate Roof 7,930 0.03 0.18 Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Higher Education^2 7,930 28.33 410.49 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month January 7,930 0.05 0.23
Slate Roof 7,930 0.03 0.18 Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Higher Education^2 7,930 28.33 410.49 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month January 7,930 0.05 0.23
Vinyl Exterior 7,930 0.64 0.48 Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Higher Education^2 7,930 28.33 410.49 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month January 7,930 0.05 0.23
Wood Exterior 7,930 0.13 0.34 Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Higher Education^2 7,930 28.33 410.49 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month 7,930 0.05 0.23
Brick Exterior 7,930 0.15 0.35 Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Higher Education^2 7,930 28.33 410.49 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month
Miles to Higher Education 7,930 3.41 4.09 Miles to Higher Education^2 7,930 28.33 410.49 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month 7,930 0.05 0.23
Miles to Higher Education^2 7,930 28.33 410.49 Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month 7,930 0.05 0.23
Miles to Kokosing 7,930 2.23 3.50 Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month
Miles to Kokosing^2 7,930 17.25 406.12 List Month
List Month January 7,930 0.05 0.23
January 7,930 0.05 0.23
February 7.930 0.07 0.25
March 7.930 0.10 0.29
April 7.930 0.11 0.31
May 7,930 0.11 0.32
lune 7,930 0.11 0.32
luly 7,930 0.11 0.32
August 7,930 0.09 0.29
September 7,930 0.08 0.27
October 7,930 0.07 0.26
November 7,930 0.05 0.20
December 7,930 0.02 0.22

Table 22: Regression Results

Variables	Successful Sale	Log of Price	Days on Market
House Age	-0.00266**	-0.00310***	-0.0101
	(0.00111)	(0.000174)	(0.0415)
Bedrooms	-0.102**	0.0447***	-5.438***
	(0.0506)	(0.00790)	(1.884)
Full Baths	-0.0719	0.115***	4.705**
	(0.0628)	(0.00986)	(2.352)
Presence of Garage	0.251***	0.264***	-5.703
	(0.0958)	(0.0151)	(3.611)
Acres	-0.0117**	0.0183***	0.392**
	(0.00464)	(0.000669)	(0.160)
Approximate Total Living Space	-0.000152***	0.000229***	0.0220***
	(5.66e-05)	(9.01e-06)	(0.00215)
Agent Hit Count	-0.0233***	0.00606***	4.402***
	(0.00336)	(0.000539)	(0.129)
Client Hit Count	0.00337***	-0.00317***	0.767***
	(0.000909)	(0.000135)	(0.0323)
View of Golf Course	-0.474*	0.0692	4.881
	(0.263)	(0.0426)	(10.17)
Lake Front	0.269	1.060***	-65.24***
	(0.197)	(0.0299)	(7.133)
View of Lake	0.188	0.127***	-7.121
	(0.143)	(0.0216)	(5.152)

Metal Roof	-0.0210	0.0174	0.138
	(0.127)	(0.0199)	(4.758)
Shingle Roof	0.157	0.0139	-4.780
	(0.109)	(0.0171)	(4.088)
Slate Roof	-0.360*	-0.0363	-3.555
	(0.190)	(0.0306)	(7.303)
Vinyl Exterior	0.0890	0.00331	-3.865
	(0.0814)	(0.0127)	(3.024)
Wood Exterior	0.185*	0.0253	-1.795
	(0.107)	(0.0166)	(3.963)
Brick Exterior	0.0988	0.0760***	2.559
	(0.0936)	(0.0145)	(3.462)
Miles to Higher Education	-0.00358	-0.000796**	0.0745
	(0.00353)	(0.000377)	(0.0901)
Miles to Higher Education ^ 2	0.0243	-0.00107	-0.328
	(0.0428)	(0.00602)	(1.437)
Miles to Kokosing	-0.00577	0.0165***	-1.734
	(0.0507)	(0.00560)	(1.337)
Miles to Kokosing ^ 2	0.00491	0.000713*	-0.0617
	(0.00564)	(0.000364)	(0.0870)
List Month:			
February	-0.189	0.0325	0.584
	(0.185)	(0.0287)	(6.850)
March	0.0704	0.0523*	-0.268
	(0.176)	(0.0270)	(6.435)
April	-0.209	0.0711***	-2.501
	(0.169)	(0.0264)	(6.290)

Мау	-0.166	0.0909***	1.462
	(0.169)	(0.0263)	(6.280)
June	-0.153	0.0569**	13.60**
	(0.170)	(0.0264)	(6.289)
July	-0.0164	0.0724***	25.63***
	(0.174)	(0.0267)	(6.375)
August	-0.217	0.102***	25.37***
	(0.176)	(0.0274)	(6.532)
September	-0.142	0.0592**	24.47***
	(0.183)	(0.0283)	(6.760)
October	-0.0752	0.0514*	27.58***
	(0.188)	(0.0290)	(6.921)
November	-0.0238	0.0237	32.10***
	(0.205)	(0.0314)	(7.489)
December	0.135	0.0219	13.37*
	(0.213)	(0.0324)	(7.723)
Constant	1.155**	10.60***	96.70***
	(0.468)	(0.0741)	(17.67)
Observations	5,400	5,400	5,400
Sampling Window Begins	Jan-10	Jan-10	Jan-10
Sampling Window Ends	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21
School District Fixed Effects	У	У	У
Year Fixed Effects	У	У	У
R-squared		0.705	0.526

Note: Complete regression results can be viewed upon request. Standard errors reported in parentheses. ***p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

RESULTS FOR SUCCESSFUL SALE REGRESSION:

The resulting regression where successful sale is the dependent variable produced significant p-values for house age, bedrooms, presence of garage, acres, approximate total living space, agent hit count, client hit count, view of golf course, slate roof, and wood exterior.

This study has both demonstrated a current shortage of residential units in Knox County and forecasted a growing need for new units over the next 10 years. The negative coefficient associated with "house age" in the regression suggests that newer homes have a higher probability of being sold, which reflects consumer preferences for newer homes. This also provides optimism and incentive for the development of new residential units in the county.

RESULTS FOR LOG OF PRICE:

The resulting regression where log of price is the dependent variable produced significant p-values for house age, bedrooms, full baths, presence of garage, acres, approximate total living space, agent hit count, client hit count, lake front, view of lake, brick exterior, miles to higher education, miles to Kokosing, miles to Kokosing², and the list months March-October.

The addition of a bedroom is predicted to increase the price of a home. Every additional bedroom increases the predicted price of a home by 4.5%.⁸ For example, if we use nominal median 2019 home values of \$155,000, each additional bedroom is expected to increase price by \$7,085.

The addition of a bath increases the price of a home. Every additional bath increases the predicted price of a home by 12.1%. Using the median 2019 home value of \$155,000, every additional bathroom is predicted to increase price by \$18,890.

A one-year increase in the age of a home decreases the price of a home (newer homes sell at a higher price). Every additional year decreases the predicted price of a home by .26%. Using the median 2019 home value of \$155,000, an increase in the age of a home by one year is predicted to decrease price by \$411.

The presence of a garage increases the predicted price of a home by 30.1%. Using the median 2019 home value of \$155,000, the presence of a garage is predicted to increase price by \$46,628.

Using 2019 nominal median housing values, a one acre increase in lot size increases price by \$2,862 (1.8%). Every additional square foot in living space increases the predicted price of a home by .02%.

Lake front homes and homes with a view of a lake demonstrate high demand in Knox County. Lake front homes are predicted experience a 188% increase in price over non-lake front homes. Further, homes with a view of a lake are predicted to experience a 13.5% increase in price.

Homes with a brick exterior are predicted to experience a 7.8% increase in price over homes that do not have brick exteriors.

⁸ This regression represents a log-linear relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variables. If an independent variable increases by X units, the dependent variable increases by $(e^{Bx} - 1)*100\%$. For example, if the number of bedrooms increases by 1, the predicted price of a home should increase by $(e^{.0447} - 1)*100\% = 4.5\%$.

Miles to higher education demonstrated a significant, negative relationship with the log price of home. This suggests that homes closer to higher education institutions are predicted to be more expensive. Using the median 2019 home value of \$155,000, price is expected to increase by \$123 (0.07%) for every mile closer to the nearest higher education institution (as the crow flies). The economic significance of this relationship is modest.

Finally, the list months March through October had positive relationships to the log of price. This suggests that, relative to the month of January, listing a house in these months generally results in a higher sold price. The months of May and August had the largest coefficient: houses that are listed in these months sold for higher prices than those listed in January, all else equal. This relationship reflects observed seasonality in the housing market. Demand for housing generally increases in the summer months.

RESULTS FOR DAYS ON MARKET REGRESSION:

The resulting regression where days on market is the dependent variable produced significant p-values for bedrooms, full baths, acres, approximate total living space, agent hit count, client hit count, lake front, and the list months June through December.

The only significant negative coefficients associated with independent variables for the Days on Market regression were "bedrooms" and "lake front". If the number of bedrooms increase by one, a home is predicted to sell 5 days quicker, all else equal. Days on market is predicted to decrease by 65 days for lake front homes.

It should be noted that a decline in average days on market has significantly decreased for the Knox County housing market as a whole for the years 2010-2021, indicating high demand for homes regardless of size, price, distance to landmarks, or other variables.